



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/695,567 | 10/28/2003 | Lung T. Tran | 10017394-1 | 9177 | |
| 22879 | 7590 09/09/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | PACKARD COMPAI | EVANS, JEFFERSON A | | | |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| FORT COLLI | NS, CO 80527-2400 | | 2652 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/695,567 | TRAN ET AL. | TRAN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | | Jefferson A. Evans | 2652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE Period for Reply | of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence ad | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the material of the period for reply is specified allowed the period for reply within the set or extensions. | e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. bove, the maximum statutory period wended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing | 'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO date of this communication, even if timely | ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this of the control (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to comm | nunication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are s | subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is o | bjected to by the Examine | •. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed o | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| • | • • | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration | on is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Offi | ice Action or form P | ТО-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | 9 | | | • | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * | c)∏ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | The second secon | | | | | | |
| · | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| · · | • | _ • | eived in this National | Stage | | | |
| • • | m the International Bureau iled Office action for a list (| of the certified copies not rece | ived | | | | |
| · | | or and continued copies her reco | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PT | O-892) | 4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | al Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1 to 24 are pending.

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not adequately descriptive. A new title is required that is more clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed by more expressly establishing that the medium is moved in first and second directions in a common plane but perpendicular to each other.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jacobson et al (U.S. 6,587,408). Jacobson discloses a storage device in which the head support and/or the medium support is made movable in two dimensions (column 3 lines 40 to 60). The heads may be magnetic thin film read/write heads (column 6 lines 57 to 60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2652

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson et al in view of Takano et al (U.S. 6,356,406). Jacobson does not expressly disclose his read head as being an MR head.

Takano discloses a plurality of MR read heads in a second plane (column 11-lines 50 to 555 and column 13 – line 45 to column 14 – line 18). The read heads are part of read write combination heads and the read portion of the heads utilize MR elements. The heads and medium are rectangular members moved relative to each other.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the magnetic read head of Jacobson to be a MR head, such as disclosed by Takano. The motivation would have been: Mr heads had become the standard form for read heads to read from a magnetic storage medium due to their beneficial reproduction characteristics.

6. Claims 4, 5, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson et al in view of Takano et al. Neither reference discloses the read heads as being giant or tunneling MR heads.

Official Notice is given that it was notoriously old and well known in the art to have MR heads take the form of giant or tunneling MR heads.

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the magnetic read head of Jacobson in view of Takano to be a giant or tunneling MR head. The motivation would have been: these type of MR elements had been established as being superior in reproduction characteristics and appropriate for reproducing from magnetic storage mediums with extremely high recording densities, and such elements had been established as particularly applicable to magnetic storage arrays such as MRAM arrays which have parallels to the inventions of Jacobson and Takano.

7. Claims 6-9, 14, 15, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson et al. Jacobson does not appear to disclose the magnetic medium as being longitudinal or perpendicular.

Official Notice is given that it was notoriously old and well known in the art to have a magnetic medium be a perpendicular or longitudinal medium.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the magnetic medium of Jacobson to be a longitudinal or perpendicular medium. The motivation would have been: longitudinal or perpendicular are fundamentally the two forms magnetic storage mediums known in the prior art for use in tandem with thin film magnetic heads.

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson. Jacobson does not disclose the heads as being mounted on cantilevers.

Art Unit: 2652

Official Notice is given that it was notoriously old and well known in the art to have thin film read write heads mounted on cantilevers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position a head of Jacobson on a cantilever. The motivation would have been: a cantilever arrangement allowed the head to be biased towards the medium to maintain a close position relative thereto while allowing the head to respond to the medium, such as to irregularities in the surface of the medium, as the head and medium move relative to each other.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferson A. Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-7574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 6, 2005

Jefferson A. Evans Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

> JEFFERSON EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER